

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/549,729</p>	<p>Applicant(s) VALLEBRANT ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner Igor Kershteyn</p>	<p>Art Unit 3745</p>	

All Participants:

(1) Igor Kershteyn.

(2) Daniel J. Whitman (Reg. No. 43,987).

Date of Interview: 22 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior art rejection set forth in the previous Office action.

Claims discussed:

1, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

US4,611,527.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached to amend claims to overcome 102 rejection set forth in the previous Office action (See Examiner's Amendment)

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Igor Kershteyn/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)